

CLERK'S MINUTE SHEET
 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO (AT ALBUQUERQUE)

Before the Honorable John F. Robbenhaar

Initial Appearance

Case Number:	22mj1291 JFR	UNITED STATES vs. Syed	
Hearing Date:	8/10/2022	Time In and Out:	9:34-9:35; 9:52-9:59
Courtroom Deputy:	E. Hernandez	Courtroom:	Rio Grande
Defendant:	Shaheen Syed	Defendant's Counsel:	Daniel Snyder for initial purposes only
AUSA:	Letitia Simms	Pretrial/Probation:	A. Maestas
Interpreter:	N/A	Witness:	

Initial Appearance

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant received a copy of charging document		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Court advises defendant(s) of possible penalties and all constitutional rights		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant would like Court appointed counsel		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Government moves to detain	<input type="checkbox"/>	Government does not recommend detention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Set for Preliminary/Detention Hearing	on Monday, August 15, 2022	@ 9:30

Preliminary/Show Cause/Identity

<input type="checkbox"/>	Defendant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Court finds	<input type="checkbox"/>	Court does not find probable cause

Detention

<input type="checkbox"/>	Defendant waives right to contest detention
<input type="checkbox"/>	

Custody Status

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Defendant remanded to the custody of United States Marshal's Service
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conditions

Other

<input type="checkbox"/>	Defendant waives personal presence at hearing/Court accepts Defendant's waiver
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pursuant to the Due Process Protections Act, Court confirms the United States obligation to produce all exculpatory evidence to the defendant pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and its progeny, and orders it to do so.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Matter referred to for Final Revocation Hearing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Government requests continuance of preliminary/detention hearing to next Wednesday; defense opposes continuance; court grants continuance